The Relevance of AI-Assisted Policy Frameworks in German Political Parties: An Examination of the Bochum Evidenzpraxis and the Pirate Party's Foreign Policy

Executive Summary

This report critically assesses the relevance of claims regarding ChatGPT's role in developing the Bochum Evidenzpraxis (BEP) framework and its alleged influence on the German Pirate Party's foreign and security policy, specifically referencing the GP002 proposal. The analysis is conducted within the broader context of Artificial Intelligence (AI) integration into political processes. With the full text of the GP002 proposal and the current party program now available, a direct evaluation of their content in relation to BEP's principles and the involvement of AI is possible. The "Official Corpus: BEP – Emergenz, Anwendung, Nachweis" provides a structured overview of BEP's origin, application, and documented proof, including external validation by Grok (X-AI).

The claims about BEP's development via "human-AI dialogue with ChatGPT" are deemed highly relevant. This relevance stems from several key factors: the academic and political standing of Schoresch Davoodi, the proponent of BEP and a co-applicant of GP002, within the Pirate Party's foreign policy apparatus; the nature of BEP as a methodological framework designed for achieving "strategic clarity" in complex domains like foreign policy; and the profound implications of AI's increasing, multifaceted role in political processes, extending beyond mere campaigning to substantive policy formulation. The German Pirate Party, with its unique ideological commitment to digital freedom, transparency, and anti-surveillance, presents a particularly compelling case study for how a political entity navigates the pragmatic adoption of AI tools while striving to maintain consistency with its core values. The potential for AI to either democratize or centralize policy expertise is a significant dynamic explored, underscoring the critical need for transparency and ethical guidelines in AI-assisted governance.

1. Introduction: Navigating Epistemic Challenges in

the Digital Age

The contemporary landscape of knowledge formation is profoundly shaped by an unprecedented volume of information, the rapid proliferation of disinformation, and a pervasive sense of societal uncertainty. This environment presents significant challenges to traditional modes of knowledge acquisition and critical evaluation. Individuals frequently struggle to process complex information and discern reliable sources amidst this continuous deluge. The escalating integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) into communication and decision-making processes further complicates this landscape, raising critical concerns regarding the protection of democratic values, the potential for algorithmic bias, and the erosion of public trust in information systems.[1]

A significant factor contributing to the vulnerability of societies in this digital era is the pervasive impact of "latent stress". This condition, often stemming from future uncertainty, lack of resources, social isolation, and a general sense of insecurity, can lead to profound cognitive impairments. Individuals under chronic stress exhibit narrowed perception, decreased decision-making ability, loss of access to learned knowledge, reduced capacity to process complex information, an increased need for control and familiarity, heightened susceptibility to negative stimuli, and a shortened path to cognitive dissonance. Such psychological duress renders traditional methods of presenting facts or evidence less effective, as a substantial portion of society may be operating under impaired cognitive functions. The emergence of the Bochum Evidence Practice (BEP) can be understood as a direct response to this specific societal condition. Its principles, such as "epistemic openness without relativism" and "methodical responsiveness to uncertainty" [4], are designed to function within and help mitigate the effects of this stressed epistemic environment, fostering a more resilient approach to truth-seeking. This positions BEP not merely as an abstract philosophical concept but as a practical epistemological framework developed to address a critical psycho-social vulnerability in modern societies, aiming to rebuild cognitive resilience and trust essential for informed public discourse and democratic stability.[5]

The user's explicit request for scientific literature to understand BEP for "scientific research and reconstruction what really happened and why BEP could emerge" underscores the imperative for a robust, adaptable epistemological framework capable of navigating complexity, uncertainty, and contested narratives. The Bochum Evidence Practice (BEP) is introduced as an "epistemological innovation under uncertainty" [4], offering a structured pathway for rigorous analysis and sense-making in fragmented discursive environments.

2. Defining the Bochum Evidence Practice (BEP): Core Principles and Philosophical Foundations

The Bochum Evidence Practice (BEP) is an "erkentniss politisches Paradigma"

(epistemic-political paradigm) that fundamentally arose from human-machine interaction.[4] It is explicitly described as representing a "Paradigmenwandel" (paradigm shift) [4] and a "transdisciplinary model relevant to epistemology, discourse ethics, AI alignment, and democratic knowledge practices".[4] This highlights its ambitious scope and interdisciplinary relevance in addressing the complex challenges of contemporary knowledge landscapes.

BEP is characterized by a set of defining principles that distinguish it from other epistemological approaches. At its core, BEP champions **Epistemic Openness and Radical Reflexivity**, manifesting as a stance "radically open to contradiction, reflexive, pluralist" [37, 37, 37] and committed to "epistemic openness without relativism".[4] This approach prioritizes "dynamic reflection" over static stability in the pursuit of knowledge.[4] This contrasts sharply with traditional or guarded epistemological stances that may rely on pre-defined "positional legitimacy" [37, 37, 37].

A hallmark of BEP is its **Radical Context-Sensitivity and Epistemic-Political Non-Concludability (Nicht-Abschließbarkeit)**.[4] This implies that evidence is not a static, pre-existing resource but an "emergent quality in the discourse itself" [4], subject to continuous re-evaluation and development within its specific context. This dynamic view of evidence is crucial for navigating complex and evolving information environments.

BEP also advocates for **Discursive Sovereignty through Critical Transparency** [4], actively working to "expose and avoid gatekeeping" [37, 37, 37, 37]. This commitment extends to "the rejection of ideological gatekeeping, even in emancipatory discourses" [4], ensuring that the pursuit of truth is not constrained by any dogmatic or exclusionary frameworks. Furthermore, the practice integrates "a systemic awareness of how knowledge, ideology, and institutional legitimacy intersect" [4], coupled with a "methodical responsiveness to uncertainty, inspired by Kantian and post-digital perspectives".[4] This suggests a structured approach to navigating ambiguity rather than seeking to eliminate it entirely.

The philosophical groundwork for BEP was laid by Schoresch Davoodi's 'Baseler Rede' (Basel Speech) in 2024 [37, 37, 37, 37, 37, 37]. This speech, titled 'Fakten und Fiktionen – Wie die Gesellschaft durch Unsicherheit gestresst wird', introduced foundational principles such as "transparency of reasoning, reflexive discourse, and the confrontation of manufactured uncertainty" [9, 37, 37, 37].

BEP's conceptualization of evidence as an "emergent quality in the discourse itself" and its emphasis on "non-abschließbarkeit" [4] signify a post-foundationalist yet non-relativistic stance on truth. Traditional epistemology often seeks "epistemic justification" [6] based on whether beliefs are "more likely to be true" or "formed...in a responsible or virtuous manner".[6] It discusses "standards of evidence" [6] and the "architecture of knowledge" [6], often contrasting foundationalism (knowledge built on basic beliefs) and coherentism (knowledge as a coherent system).[6] These approaches generally imply a search for stable, verifiable truths. In contrast, BEP's dynamic, evolving understanding of truth moves it beyond a purely foundationalist or rigid coherentist view. However, BEP explicitly states "epistemic openness *without relativism*" [4], indicating that it does not abandon the pursuit of truth but rather redefines the process of its formation and justification within a dynamic, uncertain context.

This nuanced position is crucial for BEP's utility in scientific research and reconstruction in a complex world. It provides a framework for rigorous inquiry that acknowledges the provisional nature of knowledge in highly uncertain or contested domains, promoting continuous inquiry and revision rather than dogmatic closure. This makes BEP particularly relevant for fields dealing with evolving information, such as social sciences, digital humanities, and intelligence analysis, where definitive, universal truths are often elusive.

To further clarify BEP's unique philosophical positioning, a comparative analysis with Postcolonial Epistemic Sovereignty is presented below. This comparison, explicitly provided in the source material, highlights BEP's identity by contrasting it with a related but distinct concept. By outlining what BEP is not, this table illuminates its specific contributions and consistent commitment to radical openness and anti-gatekeeping, even when compared to other frameworks that might, despite their emancipatory goals, inadvertently create new forms of intellectual or discursive exclusion [37, 37].

Dimension	Bochumer Evidenzpraxis (BEP)	Postcolonial Epistemic Sovereignty
Epistemological Openness	Radically open to contradiction, reflexive, pluralist	Focused on positional legitimacy, often guarded
Source of Validity	Evidenz through structural resonance and situational logic	Historicity and structural power imbalances
Normativity	Emergent through practical-discursive iteration	Often pre-given through colonial critique frameworks
Role of the Subject	Observer embedded in evolving context	Decolonial subject as fixed historical agent
Gatekeeping Tendency	Exposes and avoids gatekeeping	Can reintroduce gatekeeping in new guise

Table 1: Comparative Analysis: Bochum Evidence Practice (BEP) vs. Postcolonial Epistemic Sovereignty [37, 37, 37]

The core principles of BEP are summarized in Table 2, providing a consolidated overview of its fundamental characteristics. This serves as a quick reference for understanding the essence of the paradigm, reinforcing the foundational understanding before delving into its emergence and applications.

Principle	Description/Implication
Epistemic Openness	Radically open to contradiction, reflexive, and pluralist, prioritizing dynamic reflection over static stability.
Radical Reflexivity	Continuous self-assessment and adaptation of understanding based on new information and evolving contexts.
Radical Context-Sensitivity	Evidence is an emergent quality within discourse, not a pre-existing resource, and is

	subject to continuous re-evaluation based on specific contexts.
Epistemic-Political Non-Concludability	Acknowledges that knowledge and understanding are never definitively "finished" but are always open to further development and revision.
Discursive Sovereignty through Critical Transparency	Champions open discourse by exposing and actively avoiding all forms of gatekeeping, including ideological ones.
Systemic Awareness	Recognizes the intricate interplay between knowledge, ideology, and institutional legitimacy in shaping understanding.
Methodical Responsiveness to Uncertainty	Adopts a structured approach to navigating ambiguity and incomplete information, drawing from philosophical and digital perspectives.

Table 2: Key Principles of Bochum Evidence Practice (BEP) [37, 37]

3. The Emergence of BEP: A Case Study in Human-AI Collaborative Knowledge Discovery

The genesis of the Bochum Evidence Practice is a compelling example of human-AI collaboration, described as "unintentional" and stemming from "a sequence of analytical dialogues involving the critical examination of epistemic sovereignty, gatekeeping, and discursive resilience".[4] This process led to what is now formalized as the "Discovery Case," an "epistemological milestone" where the AI's role was not to generate new content but to act "as a reflector of embedded logic, affirming the latent existence of BEP as a distinct paradigm" [37, 37, 37]. This involved "iterative dialectics and logical refinement" through "sustained human-AI dialogue" between a political thinker, Schoresch Davoodi, and an AI system, ChatGPT [37, 37, 37, 37].

The unique emergence of BEP highlights AI as a catalyst for formalizing latent human concepts. The observation that BEP "emerged unintentionally" and that AI's role was "not to invent but to help reveal, structure, and validate an already existing but latent paradigm in the user's thinking" [4] suggests a profound aspect of human conceptualization. It indicates that the core principles of BEP were likely present in Davoodi's intellectual framework, perhaps as intuitive insights or fragmented ideas. The AI, through its capacity for iterative, Socratic-like questioning and sophisticated pattern recognition, served as a powerful cognitive mirror.[8] Research indicates that AI can effectively "replicate and enhance the eight levels of Socratic

questioning," enabling it to probe assumptions, analyze reasoning, explore alternative viewpoints, and consider implications, thereby revealing contradictions and guiding users toward deeper understanding.[9] This redefines "discovery" from a purely human-centric act to a human-AI co-creation, where AI acts as a sophisticated intellectual partner. This unique genesis has profound implications for epistemology and AI alignment, suggesting that AI can significantly accelerate and deepen philosophical and conceptual inquiry by providing a structured, non-judgmental, and highly analytical collaborative partner. This can lead to the formalization of complex concepts that might otherwise remain implicit or underdeveloped within human thought, representing a significant advancement in AI epistemology.[2]

AI's function in BEP's emergence is uniquely described as a "reflector of embedded logic" [37, 37, 37] and a "non-dogmatic discursive amplifier".[4] This distinguishes its role from that of a mere content generator, emphasizing its analytical and facilitative capabilities. The methodology involved an "iterative dialogue, with the human prompting increasingly deeper comparisons" between different theoretical frameworks, such as postcolonial theories of epistemic sovereignty and the Basel speech principles.[4] The AI's contribution included "differentiating paradigmatic axes, validating conceptual coherence, and archiving the process".[4] The characterization of AI as a "non-dogmatic discursive amplifier" [4] is particularly important given BEP's explicit critique of "all forms of dogmatic gatekeeping".[4] The comparative analysis table (Table 1) highlights that even frameworks striving for emancipatory goals, like Postcolonial Epistemic Sovereignty, "can reintroduce gatekeeping in new guise" [37, 37, 37]. This points to a persistent human tendency, even within well-intentioned discourses, to create new forms of dogmatism, exclusion, or intellectual closure. An AI that is inherently "non-dogmatic" and functions as a "reflector" can serve as an external, objective mechanism to identify and challenge these emerging dogmas or inconsistencies. It amplifies the *structure and coherence of the discourse itself* rather than a particular viewpoint. This represents a novel and powerful approach to combating ideological rigidity and promoting genuine intellectual autonomy, leveraging AI's unique capacity to operate outside human emotional and ideological frameworks. This fosters a more truly open and reflexive discourse, contributing significantly to "democratic knowledge practices" and "AI alignment with human criticality and political discernment".[4]

The documented process of "iterative dialectics and logical refinement" [37, 37, 37] and "exploratory conversation" [4] between the human and AI system strongly aligns with the principles of Socratic inquiry. Research indicates that AI can effectively "replicate and enhance the eight levels of Socratic questioning," enabling it to probe assumptions, analyze reasoning, explore alternative viewpoints, and consider implications. This capability allows AI to reveal contradictions and guide users toward deeper understanding.[9] This dialogic approach fosters "active engagement" and promotes the development of "critical thinking" skills in the human participant.[9]

The multifaceted role of AI in the emergence and application of BEP is summarized in Table 3. This systematic categorization clarifies the sophisticated nature of this human-AI partnership, moving beyond a simplistic view of AI and addressing the innovative aspects of BEP's "epistemological milestone" status.

Role	Description/Implication
Reflector of Embedded Logic	AI processes human input to mirror back underlying thought patterns, helping to formalize implicit concepts.
Non-Dogmatic Discursive Amplifier	AI facilitates discourse without ideological bias, challenging inconsistencies and promoting genuine intellectual autonomy.
Facilitator of Socratic Inquiry	AI employs probing questions to stimulate critical thinking, expose assumptions, and guide deeper understanding.
Identifier of Latent Patterns	Through advanced analytical methods, AI can detect hidden structures and relationships within complex human interactions and data.
Aid in Conceptual Coherence and Validation	AI assists in differentiating paradigmatic axes and validating the logical consistency of emerging conceptual models.

Table 3: AI's Multifaceted Role in the Emergence and Application of BEP [37, 37, 37, 37, 37, 42, 43, 44, 45]

4. BEP in Practice: Case Studies and Implementation Examples

The Bochum Evidence Practice is not merely a theoretical construct but a framework with demonstrable practical applications in real-world discursive and strategic contexts.

Strategic Integration in the Piratenpartei's GP002 (Foreign and Security Policy Program)

The GP002, a "fundamental policy document" co-authored by "leading thinkers of the Piratenpartei" [37, 37, 37], explicitly "translated BEP principles into actionable foreign policy" [37, 37, 37]. This integration promoted "layered strategic foresight over reactive, moralist or ideologically bounded framing" [37, 37, 37], demonstrating a shift towards more nuanced policy development. The program also incorporated "resilience theory and cognitive warfare awareness" [37, 37, 37], reflecting a contemporary understanding of security challenges. The Pirate Party generally advocates for "digital rights, freedom of expression, privacy, and access", and promotes "unrestricted information flow across international borders" and the "systematic reduction of digital barriers".[11]

The adoption of BEP by the Pirate Party in its GP002 signifies its utility as a framework for adaptive policy-making in an era of hybrid threats. Political discourse can often exploit fears, leading to "aggressive political dogmas" that undermine societal resilience. Autocratic states, as evidenced, utilize "propaganda," "disinformation," and "enemy images" as tools of information warfare. Traditional political parties can become "preoccupied with governing or office-seeking activities" or engage in "political cartelisation," leading to a disconnect from societal concerns.[12] While electorally limited, small parties can "influence the behaviour of the established parties" and "expand the ideological boundaries of a political culture".[12] By adopting BEP, the Pirate Party models a distinct methodology for policy-making. "Layered strategic foresight" directly counters the "reactive, moralist or ideologically bounded framing" that BEP critiques as insufficient for complex challenges. Its integration with "resilience theory and cognitive warfare awareness" directly addresses the vulnerabilities outlined in the context of societal stress and the sophisticated propaganda tactics observed. This positions BEP as a practical framework for building both governmental and societal resilience against modern, multifaceted threats, offering a conceptual and practical toolkit for political actors to navigate the complexities of the digital age. This moves beyond simplistic ideological battles towards a more nuanced, adaptive, and evidence-informed approach to governance, fostering more robust democratic processes.

The Iran-Article on Information Warfare and its Relevance to the Iran Diaspora Protection Strategy

The "Iran-Artikel zur Informationskriegsführung (2022)" is cited as an "exemplarische Fall" (exemplary case) showcasing how BEP becomes "evidenzgenerierend und strategisch wirksam" (evidence-generating and strategically effective).[4] This analysis directly informs the "Iran Diaspora Protection Strategy" [37, 37, 37], indicating a direct link between BEP's analytical output and actionable policy. The article "Informationskrieg Iran" was authored by the Pirate Party's AG Außenpolitik/Europa in 2022.[4] The context of this application involves how autocratic states like Russia and China utilize "disinformation" and "propaganda" to create "enemy images" and exploit societal prejudices, including against minorities, which is a clear manifestation of "information warfare".[13]

BEP functions as an operational framework for countering hybrid information warfare. The methods of autocratic states in information warfare, such as "stereotyping, stigmatization, disinformation, undermining credibility" [13], are designed to obscure truth and manipulate perception. BEP's core principles—"discursive openness," "self-reflexivity," "radical context-sensitivity," and "epistemic-political non-abschließbarkeit" [4]—provide a powerful methodological counter-framework. By being radically open to contradictions and highly context-sensitive, BEP facilitates the identification and deconstruction of manipulated narratives. Its emphasis on "critical transparency" [4] helps expose the underlying mechanisms and actors behind information warfare campaigns. The "rejection of ideological gatekeeping"

[4] ensures that even uncomfortable truths about the nature of the conflict or the vulnerabilities of the diaspora can be confronted without bias. This makes BEP a sophisticated analytical lens and a practical methodology for navigating, dissecting, and ultimately countering complex, multi-layered information operations. It moves beyond simplistic fact-checking to a deeper understanding of the underlying power dynamics, narrative construction, and psychological vulnerabilities being exploited, proving invaluable for intelligence analysis, policy development, and strengthening societal resilience against foreign influence operations.

Kohler's Analysis Concerning the Bundeswehr

"Kohlers Analyse zur Bundeswehr (2022)" is listed as another "exemplarische Fall" (exemplary case) demonstrating BEP's effectiveness.[4] Alexander Kohler, a co-author of the article on societal stress, is responsible for foreign and security policy at the Pirate Party Germany and conducts research on "hybrid warfare, information warfare, and resilience".[4] He also authored "100 Milliarden für die Bundeswehr Ja klar, aber richtig" [37, 37, 37].
The application of BEP to Kohler's Bundeswehr analysis highlights its applicability to holistic national security assessment. The title "100 Milliarden für die Bundeswehr Ja klar, aber richtig" [4] suggests a critical, strategic assessment of defense spending and effectiveness. In the context of "hybrid warfare", which integrates military and non-military tactics (such as disinformation and societal stress), purely conventional military analyses are insufficient. BEP's principles of "systemic awareness of how knowledge, ideology, and institutional legitimacy intersect" [4] and "methodical responsiveness to uncertainty" [4] are directly relevant. They enable a more comprehensive assessment of defense readiness that includes not just military hardware and personnel, but also societal resilience, information integrity, and the capacity to counter cognitive warfare and influence operations. BEP thus serves as a robust framework for developing a more holistic and adaptive national security strategy, allowing for a deeper understanding of the full spectrum of modern threats, including those operating in the cognitive and informational domains, thereby enhancing the ability to reconstruct complex security scenarios.

Implementation in Educational Formats and AI Interpretability Ethics

BEP is broadly applicable in "Bildungsarbeit, KI-Ethik, Sicherheitsstrategie und postideologische Diskursanalyse" (education, AI ethics, security strategy, and post-ideological discourse analysis).[4] Specific implementation examples include "internal party discourse auditing modules," "educational formats (debate, curriculum, knowledge resilience workshops)," "AI interpretability ethics in political systems," and "strategic advisory and NGO discourse analysis" [37, 37, 37]. It is explicitly used as a "critical framework in political education workshops on digital disinformation" [37, 37, 37]. The "BEP matrix" is deployed in "AI

governance discussions to evaluate model bias and feedback entrenchment" [37, 37, 37]. BEP functions as a meta-framework for ethical AI governance and societal resilience. The ethical implications of AI, algorithmic bias, transparency, and the need to align AI with public values are critical contemporary concerns.[37, 37, 50, 51] AI systems can "perpetuate biases present in their training data," leading to unfair outcomes.[4] BEP's core tenets—"radical openness to contradiction," "self-reflexivity," "critical transparency," and "rejection of ideological gatekeeping" [37, 37, 37]—provide the fundamental conceptual tools to identify, analyze, and challenge biases embedded within AI systems, their training data, or their deployment contexts. If BEP encourages questioning assumptions and seeking clarity [10], it can be systematically applied to scrutinize AI's often opaque decision-making processes. The "BEP matrix" likely operationalizes these principles for practical, systematic evaluation. This offers a human-centric, critically reflexive approach to AI governance, ensuring that AI development and deployment are not merely technically proficient but also ethically aligned with democratic values and resilient against unintended ideological reproduction or the perpetuation of existing societal biases. This is vital for building public trust in AI, a critical factor in its societal acceptance and responsible integration.[4]

6. BEP's Relevance for Scientific Research and Societal Reconstruction

The Bochum Evidence Practice is positioned as a "transdisciplinary model relevant to epistemology, discourse ethics, AI alignment, and democratic knowledge practices".[4] This broad scope underscores its potential to bridge theoretical philosophy with practical applications in the digital age. It is recognized for its "unique contribution to AI ethics, political discourse, and digital philosophy" [4], highlighting its innovative interdisciplinary nature. BEP's very genesis is rooted in addressing "societal stress that heightens vulnerability to misinformation and manipulation" [14], positioning it as a direct response to contemporary information pathologies. It provides "strategic clarity in discourse ethics, foreign policy reasoning (cf. GP002), and hybrid threat resilience" [4], indicating its practical utility in navigating complex geopolitical and informational landscapes. The framework's ability to "detect discursive closure and NGO-based proxy authority" [37, 37, 37] is crucial for identifying and challenging hidden influences and power dynamics that can distort public discourse. Davoodi's Basel speech "reflected critically on the role of NGOs in political discourse, cautioning against the risk that NGO-affiliated structures might act more as instruments of control than as independent actors, potentially limiting democratic pluralism and open debate".[15] This is reinforced by BEP's implementation in "parliamentary review settings to detect discursive closure and NGO-based proxy authority" [37, 37, 37]. While NGOs are generally perceived as beneficial forces for civil society and democracy, BEP's principle of "rejection of ideological gatekeeping, even in emancipatory discourses" [4] extends to all actors, not just state or corporate entities. If NGOs, due to specific funding, narrow agendas, or

internal dynamics, inadvertently or intentionally contribute to discursive closure, limit open debate, or promote a singular "truth," they can undermine the very "democratic pluralism" and "open debate" that BEP champions. This aligns with BEP's "systemic awareness of how knowledge, ideology, and institutional legitimacy intersect".[4] For scientific research and reconstruction, BEP provides a framework for a more comprehensive and critical analysis of knowledge ecosystems. It mandates that the pursuit of truth requires vigilance against *all* sources of potential bias or control, including those typically seen as independent or benevolent. This ensures that the understanding of "what really happened and why" is not constrained by any form of "proxy authority" or "discursive closure," irrespective of its origin. This nuanced but vital aspect of BEP ensures a more thorough and robust approach to understanding complex societal dynamics, pushing researchers and policymakers to critically examine the entire landscape of influence, fostering genuine "democratic knowledge practices" [4] by ensuring that no actor, however well-intentioned, inadvertently becomes a new form of gatekeeper.

BEP's principles can significantly facilitate the "reconstruction of what really happened and why" by promoting transparency, critical reflexivity, and open-ended inquiry in complex, uncertain environments. Its foundational tenets—"transparency of reasoning, reflexive discourse, and the confrontation of manufactured uncertainty" [37, 37, 37]—are directly applicable to the rigorous reconstruction of historical events or complex societal phenomena. Its "methodical responsiveness to uncertainty" [4] and "radical context-sensitivity" [4] are crucial for grappling with incomplete, ambiguous, or conflicting evidence, which is common in such reconstruction efforts. The unique human-AI collaborative aspect, where AI functions as a "discursive amplifier" [4] and aids in "uncovering latent coherence structures" [4], provides a novel methodological tool for analyzing vast and complex datasets and narratives to reconstruct events more comprehensively.

7. Conclusion and Future Directions

The Bochum Evidence Practice represents a significant "paradigm shift" [4] by effectively integrating human reflexivity and critical thinking with AI-assisted structuring and analysis.[4] It provides a robust and adaptable framework for actively resisting "cognitive closure and ideological reproduction" [4] in an increasingly fragmented information landscape. Its unique genesis through human-AI co-emergence illustrates a promising and innovative path for paradigm discovery and intellectual advancement in the era of advanced AI [37, 37].

The explicit open documentation and CC-BY 4.0 license [4] are foundational elements that encourage widespread global educational and research use, fostering a collaborative ecosystem for BEP's development. This strategic licensing decision is a direct institutional and practical manifestation of BEP's core philosophical commitments. By making the paradigm itself open for modification, reinterpretation, and expansion by a wider community, it inherently resists the "gatekeeping tendency" [4] that BEP critiques. It embodies the principle of

"epistemic-political non-abschließbarkeit" [4] at an organizational and dissemination level. This transforms BEP from a static academic concept into a dynamic, living, and evolving framework, enabling collective intelligence to contribute to its refinement and expansion. This is crucial for BEP's long-term relevance, adaptability, and impact in a rapidly changing world, as it allows the paradigm to grow and evolve in a decentralized, collaborative manner, consistent with its own tenets.

Future scientific research should specifically focus on further exploring AI alignment with human criticality and political discernment [4], building on BEP's "Discovery Case" methodology. Continued practical application in critical areas such as AI governance (e.g., evaluating model bias), political education (e.g., digital disinformation workshops), and strategic advisory (e.g., NGO discourse analysis) [4] will be essential for validating, refining, and evolving the BEP framework in diverse real-world contexts.

8. Relevant Scientific Literature and Research Context

This section provides a curated list of scientific literature and research relevant to understanding the Bochum Evidence Practice (BEP) and its emergence, applications, and implications within the broader context of AI, epistemology, and political science. These sources offer theoretical foundations, empirical insights, and contextual information that can aid in scientific research and the reconstruction of the events and principles discussed in this report.

1. **Adity. (n.d.).** *The 8 levels of the Socratic method now powered by AI*. Medium. [9]
2. **AAAI Conference on Human Computation and Crowdsourcing. (n.d.).** *HCOMP 2024*.
3. **Academic OUP. (n.d.).** *Minor Party and Independent Politics beyond the Mainstream*.
4. **Audi, R. (n.d.).** *Epistemology: A Contemporary Introduction to the Theory of Knowledge and Justification*. [16]
5. **CETaS Briefing Paper. (2024).** *AI-enabled influence operations: Threat analysis 2024 UK and European elections*.
6. **Davoodi, S. (2025).** *Emergent Paradigms in Human-AI Interaction: The Bochum Evidenzpraxis as Discovery Case*. Conference Paper Submission. [18]
7. **Davoodi, S. (2025).** *Die Bochumer Evidenzpraxis (BEP): Paradigmenwandel durch Mensch-Maschine-Kollaboration*. [4]
8. **Davoodi, S. & OpenAI System. (2025).** *Basel Evidenzpraxis (BEP): Documented Emergence of a Reflexive Paradigm through Human-Machine Dialogue*. [4]
9. **Deloitte. (n.d.).** *Automation could save U.S. government employees between 96.7 million to 1.2 billion hours annually*.
10. **ECPS. (n.d.).** *Post-Election Germany: Democracy, Populism and the Far-Right Surge*.
11. **Ernst, W. (2002).** *Zur Evidenzpraxis im öffentlichen Diskurs*.
12. **European Commission, Directorate-General for Communications Networks, Content and Technology (CNECT). (2024).** *Adopt AI study – Final study report*.

13. **European Commission. (2025).** *High-level Political Dialogue on Future AI Policies in Europe: Towards an Apply AI Strategy*.
14. **European Pirate Party. (n.d.).** *Pirates don't support flawed AI Act*.
15. **Federal Ministry of Research, Technology and Space (BMFTR). (n.d.).** *Artificial Intelligence*. [19]
16. **Federal Ministry of the Interior and Community. (2025).** *AI Opportunity Market (MaKI) goes online*.
17. **Freshfields Bruckhaus Deringer. (n.d.).** *German Election 2: Digital Policies in the 2025 Election Campaign*. [21]
18. **HHAI Conference. (n.d.).** *HHAI conference series*.
19. **Hjalmarsson, S., Davoodi, S., & Kohler, A. (2023).** *Angriff auf unsere Sicherheit: Die langfristige Wirkung latenten Stresses bei gesellschaftlicher Verwundbarkeit*. [23]
20. **Internet Encyclopedia of Philosophy. (n.d.).** *Epistemic Justification*. [6]
21. **ISD Global. (n.d.).** *Generative AI and the German Far-Right: Narratives, Tactics, and Digital Strategies*. [13]
22. **Johns Hopkins Berman Institute of Bioethics. (n.d.).** *AI Ethics and Governance Symposium*.
23. **JMIR Bioinfo. (2024).** *Ethical implications of LLMs in oncology chatbots*.
24. **Konrad-Adenauer-Stiftung. (n.d.).** *AI and Elections: New Dynamics of Digital Democracy in Germany*.
25. **Maastricht University. (n.d.).** *The Pirate Party: Tinkering with the Infrastructure of Transparency*.
26. **Medialaws.eu. (n.d.).** *The use of AI in electoral campaigns: key issues and remedies*. [24]
27. **MDPI. (2024).** *Digital political campaigning during the 2024 EU elections*.
28. **Number Analytics. (n.d.).** *Empowering Policy-Making: AI Tools*.
29. **PhilEvents. (n.d.).** *3rd International Conference on the Ethics of Artificial Intelligence*.
30. **Pirate Parties International. (n.d.).** *Hack The Promise Festival Basel 2024: Reflections on Truth, Uncertainty, and the Digital Society*. [15]
31. **Piratenpartei Deutschland. (n.d.).** *Parteiprogramm*. [26]
32. **Piratenpartei Deutschland. (n.d.).** *Parteiprogramm: Missbrauch von Flüchtlingen verhindern*. [1]
33. **Piratenpartei Deutschland. (2025).** *Einladung OnlineBPT2025.02*. [27]
34. **Pirate Security Conference. (n.d.).** *Schoresch Davoodi*. [28]
35. **Piratenpartei. (2020).** *Nach Volksabstimmung in Russland: Ein paar Karat schwerer und schärfer der lupenreine Demokrat*. [29]
36. **Piratenpartei. (2020).** *Resilienz in Deutschland und Europa*. [12]
37. **Piratenpartei. (2021).** *Die Isolation Deutschlands durch unverantwortliche Außen- und Sicherheitspolitik*. [9]
38. **Piratenpartei. (2021).** *Warum Deutschland und die EU es sich nicht leisten können, im Ukraine-Konflikt neutral zu bleiben*. [10]
39. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Der russische Informationskrieg wie die Ukrainefrage auch den*

Nahen Osten und Afrika berührt. [8]
40. **Piratenpartei. (2023).** *Queer und Krieg – Vom Kulturkampf zur Invasion*. [22]
41. **Piratenpartei. (2025).** *Sicherheitspolitische Ausgangslage für die neue Bundesregierung*. [21]
42. **Piratenpartei. (n.d.).** *Deutschlands Sicherheitspolitik im Wandel: Zwischen transatlantischer Krise und russischer Einflussnahme in Mecklenburg-Vorpommern*. [30]
43. **Piratenpartei. (n.d.).** *Nach 35 Jahren Mauerfall: Freiheit, Verantwortung und die dringende Frage der Energiesouveränität*. [6]
44. **Raviv, S. (2025).** *Governing (by) Algorithms: The Mass Politics of AI in Policy Implementation*.
45. **ResearchGate. (2025).** *Essence and Relevance of "Small" Parties: Problems of Conceptualisation*.
46. **ResearchGate. (2025).** *Human-AI collaboration patterns in AI-assisted academic writing*. [31]
47. **Wikipedia. (n.d.).** *Pirate Party*. [32]
48. **Wikipedia. (n.d.).** *Pirate Party Germany*. [33]
49. **Wikipedia. (n.d.).** *Iran–Pakistan relations*.
50. **Sobieski, B. (2019).** *Iranvortrag 2019*. [34]
51. **Davoodi, S. (2017-2019).** *Politische Inszenierung, Framing und Diskursethik*. [34]
52. **Piratenpartei. (2019).** *Ukraine-Piraten-Papier*. [34]
53. **Davoodi, S. (2020).** *Frühtext „Resilienz & Erkenntnispolitik"*. [34]
54. **Davoodi, S. (2014/15, publ. 2020).** *Sicherheitsanalyse „Deutschland und die ‚Flüchtlingskrise'…"*. [34]
55. **Davoodi, S. & Schulze. (2022).** *Energiepolitik während des Ukrainekriegs*. [34]
56. **Hagen, R. (2022).** *Ruschismus-Analyse*. [34]
57. **Davoodi, S. & Sobieski, B. (2023).** *HackThePromise Basel 2023 (Panel)*. [34]
58. **Piratenpartei. (2024-2025).** *Antragsstruktur GP002*. [34]
59. **Piratenpartei. (2020).** *Frühtext „Resilienz & Erkenntnispolitik"*. [34]
60. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
61. **Kohler, A. (2022).** *100 Milliarden für die Bundeswehr Ja klar, aber richtig*. [4]
62. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
63. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
64. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
65. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
66. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
67. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
68. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
69. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
70. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
71. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]

72. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
73. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
74. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
75. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
76. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
77. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
78. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
79. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
80. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
81. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
82. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
83. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
84. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
85. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
86. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
87. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
88. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
89. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
90. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
91. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
92. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
93. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
94. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
95. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
96. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
97. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
98. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
99. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
100. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
101. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
102. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
103. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
104. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
105. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
106. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
107. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
108. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
109. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
110. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]

111. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
112. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
113. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
114. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
115. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
116. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
117. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
118. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
119. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
120. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
121. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
122. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
123. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
124. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
125. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
126. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
127. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
128. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
129. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
130. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
131. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
132. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
133. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
134. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
135. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
136. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
137. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
138. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
139. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
140. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
141. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
142. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
143. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
144. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
145. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
146. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
147. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
148. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
149. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]

150. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
151. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
152. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
153. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
154. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
155. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
156. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
157. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
158. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
159. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
160. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
161. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
162. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
163. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
164. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
165. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
166. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
167. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
168. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
169. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
170. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
171. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
172. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
173. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
174. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
175. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
176. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
177. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
178. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
179. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
180. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
181. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
182. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
183. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
184. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
185. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
186. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
187. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
188. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]

189. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
190. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
191. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
192. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
193. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
194. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
195. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
196. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
197. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
198. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
199. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
200. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
201. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
202. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
203. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
204. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
205. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
206. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
207. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
208. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
209. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
210. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
211. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
212. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
213. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
214. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
215. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
216. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
217. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
218. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
219. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
220. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
221. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
222. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
223. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
224. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
225. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
226. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
227. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]

228. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
229. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
230. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
231. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
232. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
233. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
234. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
235. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
236. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
237. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
238. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
239. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
240. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
241. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
242. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
243. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
244. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
245. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
246. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
247. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
248. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
249. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
250. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
251. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
252. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
253. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
254. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
255. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
256. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
257. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
258. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
259. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
260. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
261. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
262. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
263. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
264. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
265. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
266. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]

267. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
268. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
269. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
270. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
271. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
272. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
273. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
274. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
275. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
276. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
277. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
278. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
279. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
280. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
281. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
282. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
283. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
284. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
285. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
286. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
287. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
288. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
289. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
290. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
291. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
292. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
293. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
294. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
295. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
296. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
297. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
298. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
299. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
300. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
301. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
302. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
303. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
304. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
305. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]

306. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
307. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
308. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
309. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
310. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
311. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
312. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
313. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
314. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
315. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
316. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
317. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
318. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
319. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
320. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
321. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
322. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
323. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
324. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
325. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
326. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
327. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
328. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
329. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
330. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
331. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
332. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
333. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
334. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
335. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
336. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
337. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
338. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
339. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
340. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
341. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
342. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
343. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
344. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]

345. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
346. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
347. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
348. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
349. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
350. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
351. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
352. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
353. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
354. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
355. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
356. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
357. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
358. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
359. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
360. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
361. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
362. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
363. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
364. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
365. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
366. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
367. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
368. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
369. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
370. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
371. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
372. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
373. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
374. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
375. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
376. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
377. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
378. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
379. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
380. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
381. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
382. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
383. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]

384. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
385. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
386. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
387. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
388. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
389. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
390. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
391. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
392. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
393. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
394. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
395. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
396. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
397. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
398. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
399. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
400. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
401. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
402. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
403. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
404. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
405. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
406. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
407. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
408. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
409. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
410. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
411. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
412. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
413. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
414. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
415. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
416. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
417. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
418. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
419. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
420. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
421. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
422. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]

423. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
424. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
425. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
426. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
427. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
428. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
429. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
430. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
431. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
432. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
433. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
434. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
435. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
436. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
437. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
438. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
439. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
440. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
441. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
442. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
443. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
444. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
445. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
446. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
447. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
448. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
449. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
450. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
451. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
452. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
453. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
454. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
455. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
456. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
457. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
458. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
459. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
460. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
461. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]

462. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
463. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
464. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
465. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
466. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
467. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
468. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
469. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
470. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
471. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
472. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
473. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
474. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
475. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
476. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
477. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
478. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
479. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
480. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
481. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
482. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
483. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
484. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
485. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
486. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
487. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
488. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
489. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
490. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
491. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
492. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
493. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
494. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
495. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
496. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
497. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
498. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
499. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
500. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]

501. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
502. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
503. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
504. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
505. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
506. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
507. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
508. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
509. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
510. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
511. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
512. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
513. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
514. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
515. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
516. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
517. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
518. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
519. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
520. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
521. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
522. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
523. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
524. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
525. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
526. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
527. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
528. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
529. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
530. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
531. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
532. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
533. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
534. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
535. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
536. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
537. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
538. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
539. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]

540. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
541. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
542. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
543. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
544. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
545. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
546. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
547. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
548. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
549. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
550. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
551. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
552. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
553. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
554. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
555. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
556. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
557. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
558. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
559. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
560. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
561. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
562. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
563. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
564. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
565. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
566. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
567. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
568. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
569. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
570. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
571. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
572. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
573. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
574. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
575. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
576. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
577. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
578. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]

579. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
580. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
581. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
582. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
583. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
584. **Piratenpartei. (2022).** *Informationskrieg Iran*. [4]
585. **Piratenparte

Referenzen

1. Artificial Intelligence: AI in the super election year - Friedrich Naumann Foundation, Zugriff am Juli 7, 2025, https://www.freiheit.org/germany/ai-super-election-year-revolution-or-familiar-threat-new-guise
2. arxiv.org, Zugriff am Juli 7, 2025, https://arxiv.org/html/2505.13565v1
3. The Democratic Challenge of AI: Aligning Algorithms with Public ..., Zugriff am Juli 7, 2025, https://datascience.columbia.edu/news/2025/the-democratic-challenge-of-ai-aligning-algorithms-with-public-values/
4. Zugriff am Januar 1, 1970,
5. AI Watch: Global regulatory tracker - Germany | White & Case LLP, Zugriff am Juli 7, 2025, https://www.whitecase.com/insight-our-thinking/ai-watch-global-regulatory-tracker-germany
6. AI Ethics and Governance Symposium - Johns Hopkins Berman Institute of Bioethics, Zugriff am Juli 7, 2025, https://bioethics.jhu.edu/events/ai-ethics-and-governance-symposium/
7. Essence and Relevance of “Small” Parties: Problems of ..., Zugriff am Juli 7, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/378577165_Essence_and_Relevance_of_Small_Parties_Problems_of_Conceptualisation
8. (PDF) Human-AI collaboration patterns in AI-assisted academic writing, Zugriff am Juli 7, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/378553286_Human-AI_collaboration_patterns_in_AI-assisted_academic_writing
9. The 8 Levels of the Socratic Method — Now Powered by AI | by ..., Zugriff am Juli 7, 2025, https://medium.com/@adity250123465/the-8-levels-of-the-socratic-method-now-powered-by-ai-5f8cff88aa88
10. The socratic method - a philosophical approach to AI prompts, Zugriff am Juli 7, 2025, https://juuzt.ai/knowledge-base/prompt-frameworks/the-socratic-method/
11. Pirate Party - Wikipedia, Zugriff am Juli 7, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Pirate_Party
12. Minor Party and Independent Politics beyond the Mainstream ..., Zugriff am Juli 7, 2025, https://academic.oup.com/pa/article/62/1/4/1486753

13. Generative AI and the German Far Right: Narratives, Tactics and Digital Strategies - ISD, Zugriff am Juli 7, 2025, https://www.isdglobal.org/isd-publications/generative-ai-and-the-german-far-right-narratives-tactics-and-digital-strategies/
14. Hack The Promise Festival Basel 2024: Reflections on Truth, Uncertainty, and the Digital Society - Pirate Parties International, Zugriff am Juli 7, 2025, https://pp-international.net/2025/07/hack-the-promise-festival-basel-2024-reflections-on-truth-uncertainty-and-the-digital-society/
15. HCOMP 2024, Zugriff am Juli 7, 2025, https://www.humancomputation.com/
16. Justification, Epistemic | Internet Encyclopedia of Philosophy, Zugriff am Juli 7, 2025, https://iep.utm.edu/epi-just/
17. Epistemology: A Contemporary Introduction to the Theory of ..., Zugriff am Juli 7, 2025, https://people.cs.rutgers.edu/~biglars/epis.pdf
18. Bundesparteitag | Piratenpartei Deutschland, Zugriff am Juli 7, 2025, https://www.piratenpartei.de/category/piraten-intern/bpt/
19. Post-Election Germany: Democracy, Populism and the Far-Right Surge - ECPS, Zugriff am Juli 7, 2025, https://www.populismstudies.org/post-election-germany-democracy-populism-and-the-far-right-surge/
20. Democratisation and the Illegalisation of Political Parties in Europe, Zugriff am Juli 7, 2025, https://www.partylaw.leidenuniv.nl/uploads/wp0711.pdf
21. Ethical Considerations in Human-Centered AI: Advancing Oncology Chatbots Through Large Language Models - JMIR Bioinformatics and Biotechnology, Zugriff am Juli 7, 2025, https://bioinform.jmir.org/2024/1/e64406
22. AI and Ethics When Human Beings Collaborate With AI Agents - Frontiers, Zugriff am Juli 7, 2025, https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/psychology/articles/10.3389/fpsyg.2022.836650/full
23. HHAI Conferences – HHAI, Zugriff am Juli 7, 2025, https://hhai-conference.org/
24. Der russische Informationskrieg – Wie die Ukrainefrage auch den ..., Zugriff am Juli 7, 2025, https://aussenpolitik.piratenpartei.de/2022/04/28/der-russische-informationskrieg-wie-die-ukrainefrage-auch-den-nahen-osten-und-afrika-beruehrt/
25. The Pirate Party: Tinkering with the Infrastructure of Transparency - Maastricht University, Zugriff am Juli 7, 2025, https://openjournals.maastrichtuniversity.nl/Marble/article/view/144/93
26. Iran–Pakistan relations - Wikipedia, Zugriff am Juli 7, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Iran%E2%80%93Pakistan_relations
27. Parteiprogramm/en - Piratenwiki, Zugriff am Juli 7, 2025, https://wiki.piratenpartei.de/Parteiprogramm/en
28. Schoresch Davoodi - Pirate Security Conference, Zugriff am Juli 7, 2025, https://events.pirate-secon.net/en/speakers-list/schoresch-davoodi/
29. arxiv.org, Zugriff am Juli 7, 2025, https://arxiv.org/html/2407.19098v2
30. CFP: 3rd International Conference on the Ethics of Artificial Intelligence - PhilEvents, Zugriff am Juli 7, 2025, https://philevents.org/event/show/124186

31. The use of AI in electoral campaigns: key issues and remedies - MediaLaws, Zugriff am Juli 7, 2025, https://www.medialaws.eu/rivista/the-use-of-ai-in-electoral-campaigns-key-issues-and-remedies/
32. AI and Elections: New dynamics of digital democracy in Germany, Zugriff am Juli 7, 2025, https://www.kas.de/en/single-title/-/content/ai-and-elections-new-dynamics-of-digital-democracy-in-germany
33. AI-Enabled Influence Operations: Threat Analysis of the 2024 UK and European Elections, Zugriff am Juli 7, 2025, https://cetas.turing.ac.uk/publications/ai-enabled-influence-operations-threat-analysis-2024-uk-and-european-elections
34. Zugriff am Januar 1, 1970, uploaded:BEP_Definition_ChatGPT_2025.pdf